

Generalized Tomonaga–Schwinger equation from the Hadamard formula

Luisa Doplicher

Dipartimento di Fisica dell’Università “La Sapienza”, INFN Sez. Roma1, I-00185 Roma, EU

Abstract

A generalized Tomonaga–Schwinger equation, holding on the entire boundary of a *finite* spacetime region, has recently been considered as a tool for studying particle scattering amplitudes in background-independent quantum field theory. The equation has been derived using lattice techniques under assumptions on the existence of the continuum limit. Here I show that in the context of continuous euclidean field theory the equation can be directly derived from the functional integral formalism, using a technique based on Hadamard’s formula for the variation of the propagator.

1 Introduction

Quantum dynamics can be formulated in a variety of ways. One of these, championed by Feynman [1], is to give the propagator of the Schrödinger evolution $W(q_1, q_2, t)$. Here q_1 and q_2 are initial and final positions and t is the propagation time. The propagator satisfies the Schrödinger equation in the initial as well as in the final variables. Formally, it can be expressed as the path integral of the exponential of the action, over all paths that start at q_1 at time zero and end at q_2 at time t

$$W(q_1, q_2, t) = \int_{\substack{x(0)=q_1 \\ x(t)=q_2}} Dx \, e^{-\frac{i}{\hbar} S[x]} . \quad (1)$$

Quantum field theory too can be formulated in a variety of ways. One of these is the Schrödinger functional representation (for a review, see [2]), where states are expressed as wave functionals of the field φ defined on a spacelike 3d plane. Evolution is given by a functional-differential Schrödinger equation. The propagator of this equation is a functional $W[\varphi_1, \varphi_2, t]$, which propagates the initial field φ_1 at time zero to the final field φ_2 at time t . I give the explicit form of $W[\varphi_1, \varphi_2, t]$ for a free field theory in an appendix below. The functional $W[\varphi_1, \varphi_2, t]$ satisfies the functional Schrödinger equation on both the initial and the final spacelike 3d planes. Formally, it can be expressed as the path integral of the exponential of the action, over all field configurations that take the initial value φ_1 on the initial plane and take the final value φ_2 on the final plane.

Robert Oeckl [3] has recently argued that it should be possible to formulate quantum field theory in terms of a functional $W[\varphi, \Sigma]$ of the field φ defined on the compact 3d boundary Σ of a *finite* spacetime region $\mathcal{R}$. In this case, Σ is not going to be spacelike. The same point of view has been extensively developed by Carlo Rovelli in the book [4], to which I refer for

a detailed discussion. Formally, $W[\varphi, \Sigma]$ can be expressed as a path integral over all field configurations on $\mathcal{R}$, that take the boundary value φ on the 3d boundary Σ

$$W[\varphi, \Sigma] = \int_{\Phi|_{\Sigma}=\varphi} D\Phi \ e^{-\frac{i}{\hbar}S[\Phi]} . \quad (2)$$

The field φ can be viewed as expressing initial, final as well as boundary values of Φ , and $W[\varphi, \Sigma]$ expresses the amplitude for having a certain set of initial and final fields, as well as boundary fields, measured by devices that are located in spacetime in the manner described by (the geometry of) the surface Σ . This picture is near to what actually happens in a laboratory experiment, where the initial and final state of a scattering event are confined into finite-size spacetime regions. The relation between particle states that can be defined in such a finite context and the usual particle states of quantum field theory, defined on an infinite spacelike region, is discussed in [5].

The interest of this suggestion is in its relevance for quantum gravity. Here, diffeomorphism invariance (that is, background independence) implies that $W[\varphi, \Sigma]$ is independent from Σ . The key observation, pointed out in [6], is that the boundary value of the gravitational field on the boundary surface Σ determines the geometry of Σ , and therefore codes the relative distance of the measuring devices and the proper time elapsed from the bottom to the top of the spacetime region considered. Therefore in gravity the *background independent* propagator $W[\varphi]$ contains the same physical information about the geometry of the boundary surface as the propagator $W[\varphi, \Sigma]$ of a *background dependent* quantum field theory. In a scattering experiment we measure incoming and outgoing particles, that is, matter-fields variables, as well as distances between instruments and elapsed time, that is, gravitational-field variables. The two kind of variables are both represented by the boundary fields φ . In other words, the boundary formulation realizes very elegantly in the quantum context the complete identification between spacetime geometry and dynamical fields, which is the great Einstein's discovery. A simple model where this picture is concretely realized is presented in [7]. Thus, it was suggested in [6] that particle scattering amplitudes can be effectively extracted from $W[\varphi]$ in a background independent theory; details will be given elsewhere [8].

Given the possible relevance of the propagator $W[\varphi, \Sigma]$ for quantum gravity, it is important to investigate it in detail, and to check whether its expected properties truly hold. In particular, it was argued in [6] that $W[\varphi, \Sigma]$ should satisfy a local functional equation governing the variation of $W[\varphi, \Sigma]$ under arbitrary local deformations of Σ , namely an equation of the form

$$\frac{\delta W[\varphi, \Sigma]}{\delta \Sigma(s)} = H\left(\varphi(s), \nabla \phi(s), \frac{\delta}{\delta \varphi(s)}\right) W[\varphi, \Sigma]. \quad (3)$$

Here s is a coordinate on Σ , and the precise meaning of the other symbols will be defined below. When Σ is formed by two parallel planes, (2) becomes the field propagator, and its variation under a parallel displacement of one of the planes is governed by the functional Schrödinger equation. The possibility of extending this equation to variations of arbitrary *spacelike* 3d surfaces was explored by Tomonaga and Schwinger already in the late forties [9]. These authors derived a well-known local generalization of the functional Schrödinger equation, called the Tomonaga–Schwinger equation. The functional equation (3) generalizes the Tomonaga–Schwinger equation, since it holds for arbitrary boundary surfaces. Indeed, if the general philosophy of the boundary approach is correct, the distinction between the

initial and final fields on spacelike portions of Σ on the one hand, and the boundary values of the field on the timelike portions of Σ , should become of secondary relevance.

Equation (3) has been derived in [10] on the basis of a lattice regularization of the functional integral (2) defining $W[\varphi, \Sigma]$, and under certain hypotheses on the existence of the continuum limit. Here, working in the context of a free euclidean theory, I show that this equation can be derived from the functional integral definition of $W[\varphi, \Sigma]$ directly in the continuum, using a formula by Hadamard which expresses the variation of a Green function under variation of the boundary [11]. Although incomplete, I think that this is a relevant step towards establishing the general viability of an equation of the form (3).

Furthermore, as a preliminary step in the derivation of (3), I derive its classical limit, which is a generalized Hamilton–Jacobi functional equation of the form

$$\frac{\delta S[\varphi, \Sigma]}{\delta \Sigma(s)} = H\left(\varphi(s), \nabla \phi(s), \frac{\delta S[\varphi, \Sigma]}{\delta \varphi(s)}\right) \quad (4)$$

satisfied by the classical Hamilton function $S[\varphi, \Sigma]$. The Hamilton function $S[\varphi, \Sigma]$ is the value of the action computed on the solution of the equations of motion that takes the value φ on Σ (see [4].) The generalized Hamilton–Jacobi equation (4) is extensively discussed in [4].

The paper is organized as follows. In Section 2, I define the theory and equation (3); in Section 3 I introduce some Green function techniques; in Section 4 I prove equation (4); in section 5 I prove equation (3). In the Appendices I sketch a proof of the Hadamard formula, and I give the explicit expression of the functional Schrödinger propagator $W[\varphi_1, \varphi_2, t]$ for the euclidean and for the lorentzian theory.

2 Definitions

2.1 Surface and surface derivative

Consider a finite region $\mathcal{R}$ in the euclidean 4d space R^4 . I use cartesian coordinates $x, y, z \dots$ on R^4 , where $x = (x^\mu)$, $\mu = 1, 2, 3, 4$. Let $\Sigma = \partial \mathcal{R}_\Sigma$ be a compact 3d surface that bounds a finite region $\mathcal{R}_\Sigma$. I denote $s, t, u \dots$ coordinates on Σ , where $s = (s^a)$, $a = 1, 2, 3$. Then Σ is given by the embedding

$$\Sigma : s^a \longmapsto x^\mu(s). \quad (5)$$

The euclidean 4d metric, which raises and lowers the μ indices, induces the 3d metric

$$q_{ab}(s) = \frac{\partial x^\mu(s)}{\partial s^a} \frac{\partial x_\mu(s)}{\partial s^b} \quad (6)$$

on Σ , which can be used to raise and lower the three dimensional a indices. The surface gradient ∇ is defined as

$$\nabla^a = q^{ab} \frac{\partial}{\partial s^b}. \quad (7)$$

The normal one-form to the surface is

$$\tilde{n}_\mu(s) = \epsilon_{\mu\nu\rho\sigma} \frac{\partial x^\nu(s)}{\partial s^1} \frac{\partial x^\rho(s)}{\partial s^2} \frac{\partial x^\sigma(s)}{\partial s^3}. \quad (8)$$

I orient the coordinate system s so that n_μ is outward directed. Its norm is easily seen to be equal to the determinant of the induced metric

$$\tilde{n}_\mu \tilde{n}^\mu = \det q. \quad (9)$$

In the following, I will use the normalized normal

$$n_\mu \equiv (\det q)^{-\frac{1}{2}} \tilde{n}_\mu \quad (10)$$

and the induced volume element on Σ

$$d\Sigma(s) \equiv (\det q(s))^{\frac{1}{2}} d^3 s. \quad (11)$$

(I shall simply write $d\Sigma$ when the integration variable is clear from the context.) Notice that the combination $d\Sigma n_\mu$ does not depend on the metric, since

$$d\Sigma(s) n_\mu(s) = d^3 s \tilde{n}_\mu(s). \quad (12)$$

Given a functional $F[\Sigma]$ that depends on the surface, I define the functional derivative with respect to the surface as the normal projection of the functional derivative with respect to the embedding (5) that defines the surface

$$\frac{\delta}{\delta \Sigma(s)} \equiv n^\mu(s) \frac{\delta}{\delta x^\mu(s)}. \quad (13)$$

Here the functional derivative on the right hand side is defined in terms of the volume element $d\Sigma$. That is [11], $\delta F[\Sigma]/\delta \Sigma(s)$ is defined as the distribution that satisfies

$$\int d\Sigma(s) N(s) \frac{\delta F[\Sigma]}{\delta \Sigma(s)} = \int d\Sigma N(s) n^\mu(s) \frac{\delta F[\Sigma]}{\delta x^\mu(s)} = \lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} \frac{F[\Sigma_{\varepsilon N}] - F[\Sigma]}{\varepsilon}, \quad (14)$$

where $\Sigma_{\varepsilon N}$ is the surface defined by the embedding

$$\Sigma_{\varepsilon N} : s^a \mapsto x^\mu(s) + \varepsilon N(s) n^\mu(s). \quad (15)$$

Geometrically, this derivative gives the variation of the functional under an infinitesimal displacement of the surface in the normal direction.

The surface derivative takes a simple form for certain simple functionals. Consider a scalar field $f(x)$ on R^4 . Let $F_f[\Sigma]$ be the integral of f in the region $\mathcal{R}_\Sigma$ bounded by Σ ; that is

$$F_f[\Sigma] = \int_{\mathcal{R}} d^4 x f(x). \quad (16)$$

Then easily

$$\frac{\delta F_f[\Sigma]}{\delta \Sigma(s)} = f(x(s)). \quad (17)$$

That is, the variation of the bulk integral under a normal variation of the surface is the integrand in the variation point. Similarly, consider a vector field $v^\mu(x)$ on R^4 . Let $F_v[\Sigma]$ be the flux of $v^\mu(x)$ across Σ ; that is

$$F_v[\Sigma] = \int_{\Sigma} d\Sigma(s) n_\mu(s) v^\mu(s). \quad (18)$$

Then Stokes theorem gives easily

$$\frac{\delta F_v[\Sigma]}{\delta \Sigma(s)} = \partial_\mu v^\mu(x(s)). \quad (19)$$

That is, the variation of the flux by a normal variation of the surface is the divergence of the vector field.

2.2 Field theory and Tomonaga-Schwinger equation

I consider a free euclidean scalar field $\Phi(x)$, defined in $\mathcal{R}$, with assigned boundary conditions $\varphi(s)$ on Σ . That is

$$\Phi(x(s)) = \varphi(s). \quad (20)$$

The dynamics of the field is governed by the lagrangian density

$$\mathcal{L} = \frac{1}{2} \partial_\mu \Phi \partial^\mu \Phi + \frac{1}{2} m^2 \Phi^2, \quad (21)$$

and the equation of motion is

$$(-\square_x + m^2) \Phi(x) = 0, \quad x \in V \quad (22)$$

with the boundary conditions (20) on Σ . Here $\square_x = \partial/\partial x_\mu \partial/\partial x^\mu$. By choosing one of the coordinates x^μ , say x^4 as a “time” coordinate, it is possible to derive the hamiltonian density

$$H(\Phi, \nabla \Phi, \Pi) = \frac{1}{2} \Pi^2 - \frac{1}{2} (\nabla \Phi)^2 - \frac{1}{2} m^2 \Phi^2; \quad (23)$$

where Π is the canonical momentum associated to the field Φ and ∇ is the gradient on the $x^4 = \text{constant}$ surface.

For this theory, the integral in (2) is a well defined gaussian functional integral. Therefore $W[\varphi, \Sigma]$ is well defined. I show in this paper that $W[\varphi, \Sigma]$ satisfies the generalized Tomonaga-Schwinger equation

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\delta W[\varphi, \Sigma]}{\delta \Sigma(s)} &= H\left(\varphi(s), \nabla \varphi(s), -\frac{\delta}{\delta \varphi(s)}\right) W[\varphi, \Sigma] \\ &= \left(\frac{1}{2} \left(-\frac{\delta}{\delta \varphi(s)} \right)^2 - \frac{1}{2} (\nabla \varphi(s))^2 - \frac{1}{2} m^2 \varphi^2(s) \right) W[\varphi, \Sigma]. \end{aligned} \quad (24)$$

3 Green functions

The tool I use to prove (24) is a Green-function technique. Given Σ , let the Green function $G_\Sigma(x, y)$ be the solution of the inhomogeneous equation

$$(-\square_x + m^2) G_\Sigma(x, y) = \delta^{(4)}(x - y) \quad (25)$$

in the region $\mathcal{R}$, satisfying the boundary condition

$$G_\Sigma(x(s), y) = 0, \quad (26)$$

namely that vanishes when x is on Σ . I introduce the following useful notation:

$$\partial_n^s G_\Sigma(s, y) \equiv n^\mu(s) \left. \frac{\partial G_\Sigma(x, y)}{\partial x^\mu} \right|_{x=x(s)} \quad (27)$$

and similarly for $\partial_n^s G_\Sigma(y, s)$, $\partial_n^s \partial_n^t G_\Sigma(s, t)$, and so on. The solution of (22), with the boundary condition (20), namely with the boundary value φ on Σ , is then given by

$$\Phi_{\varphi, \Sigma}(y) = - \int_{\Sigma} d\Sigma(s) \varphi(s) \partial_n^s G_\Sigma(s, y) . \quad (28)$$

To see that this is indeed the solution, one can write the equality

$$\Phi(y) = \int d^4x \left(\Phi(x) (-\square_x + m^2) G_\Sigma(x, y) - G_\Sigma(x, y) (-\square_x + m^2) \Phi(x) \right) , \quad (29)$$

which is satisfied because of (25) and (22). The right hand side can easily be written as a surface integral, which gives (28) using the boundary conditions (20) and (26).

The propagator $W[\varphi, \Sigma]$ can be written explicitly in terms of the Green function. In fact, it is possible to solve the gaussian integral (2) using the fact that the classical solution (28) is an extremal value of the exponent in (2). The integral is then a gaussian integral over the fluctuations. The action on the classical solution with given boundary conditions is called the Hamilton function [12]:

$$S[\varphi, \Sigma] \equiv S[\Phi_{\varphi, \Sigma}] = \frac{1}{2} \int_{V_\Sigma} d^4x \left[(\partial_\mu \Phi_{\varphi, \Sigma})^2 + m^2 \Phi_{\varphi, \Sigma}^2 \right] . \quad (30)$$

Inserting (28), it is possible to write this as

$$S[\varphi, \Sigma] = -\frac{1}{2} \int_{\Sigma} d\Sigma(s) d\Sigma(t) \varphi(s) \varphi(t) \partial_n^s \partial_n^t G_\Sigma(s, t) . \quad (31)$$

It is then easy to perform the gaussian integration in (2), which gives

$$\begin{aligned} W[\varphi, \Sigma] &= \frac{\exp(-S[\Phi_{\varphi, \Sigma}])}{\sqrt{\det(-\square + m^2)}} \\ &= \sqrt{\det G_\Sigma(x, y)} \exp\left(\frac{1}{2} \int_{\Sigma} d\Sigma(s) d\Sigma(t) \varphi(s) \varphi(t) \partial_n^s \partial_n^t G_\Sigma(s, t)\right) . \end{aligned} \quad (32)$$

In the following, it is necessary to have an expression for the variations of Green function G_Σ with respect to a displacement of the surface Σ . This can be done using Hadamard's formula [11]

$$\frac{\delta G_\Sigma(x, y)}{\delta \Sigma(s)} = \partial_n^s G_\Sigma(s, x) \partial_n^s G_\Sigma(s, y) . \quad (33)$$

I sketch a proof of this formula in the Appendix A.

4 Hamilton–Jacobi

In this section I prove that the Hamilton function (31) satisfies the generalized Hamilton–Jacobi equation (4). From (23), this reads

$$\frac{\delta S[\varphi, \Sigma]}{\delta \Sigma(s)} + \frac{1}{2} \left(\frac{\delta S[\varphi, \Sigma]}{\varphi(s)} \right)^2 - \frac{1}{2} m^2 \varphi^2(s) - \frac{1}{2} (\nabla \varphi(s))^2 = 0 . \quad (34)$$

To calculate the first term of this expression, I use the form (30) of the Hamilton function

$$\frac{\delta S[\varphi, \Sigma]}{\delta \Sigma(s)} = \frac{\delta}{\delta \Sigma(s)} \frac{1}{2} \int_{\mathcal{R}_\Sigma} d^4 x \left[(\partial_\mu \Phi_{\varphi, \Sigma})^2 + m^2 \Phi_{\varphi, \Sigma}^2 \right] . \quad (35)$$

I use (17) for the variation of the integration region, integrate by parts, use the equations of motion, to obtain

$$\frac{\delta S[\varphi, \Sigma]}{\delta \Sigma(s)} = \frac{1}{2} \left((\partial_\mu \Phi_{\varphi, \Sigma}(x(s)))^2 + m^2 \Phi_{\varphi, \Sigma}(x(s))^2 \right) + \int_{\mathcal{R}_\Sigma} d^4 x \partial_\mu \left(\partial_\mu \Phi_{\varphi, \Sigma} \frac{\delta \Phi_{\varphi, \Sigma}}{\delta \Sigma(s)} \right) . \quad (36)$$

The second term can be written as a surface integral. Using (28), this becomes

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\delta S[\varphi, \Sigma]}{\delta \Sigma(s)} &= \frac{1}{2} \left((\partial_\mu \Phi_{\varphi, \Sigma}(x(s)))^2 + m^2 \Phi_{\varphi, \Sigma}(x(s))^2 \right) \\ &\quad - \int_\Sigma d\Sigma(t) d\Sigma(t) \varphi(t) \partial_n^t \partial_n^u G_\Sigma(t, u) \frac{\delta \Phi_{\varphi, \Sigma}(x(u))}{\delta \Sigma(s)} . \end{aligned} \quad (37)$$

To compute $\delta \Phi_{\varphi, \Sigma}(x) / \delta \Sigma(s)$ observe that by defining the field $\varphi(x)$ in the neighborhood of the surface by $\varphi(x(s)) = \varphi(s)$ and $\partial_n \varphi(x(s)) = 0$, the right hand side of (28) is the flux of the vector field

$$v^\mu(x) = -\varphi(x) \frac{\partial}{\partial x^\mu} G_\Sigma(x, y) , \quad (38)$$

Therefore it is possible to use (19) to obtain

$$\frac{\delta \Phi_{\varphi, \Sigma}(x)}{\delta \Sigma(s)} = -\frac{\partial}{\partial y_\mu} \left(\varphi(y(s)) \frac{\partial}{\partial y^\mu} G_\Sigma(y(s), x) \right) - \int_\Sigma d\Sigma(t) \varphi(t) n^\mu(t) \frac{\partial}{\partial y_\mu} \frac{\delta G_\Sigma(y(t), x)}{\delta \Sigma(s)} \quad (39)$$

In the first term, I separate the normal and tangential component of the sum over μ and recall that $\varphi(x)$ is constant in the normal direction, and in the second term I use the Hadamard formula (33). This gives

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\delta \Phi_{\varphi, \Sigma}(x)}{\delta \Sigma(s)} &= -\nabla_a \varphi(s) \nabla_a G_\Sigma(y(s), x) - \varphi(s) \square_y G_\Sigma(y(s), x) \\ &\quad - \int_\Sigma d\Sigma(t) \varphi(t) n^\mu(t) \partial_n^s G_\Sigma(s, x) \partial_\mu^t \partial_n^s G_\Sigma(s, t) . \end{aligned} \quad (40)$$

But the tangential derivative of the Green function on the boundary surface vanishes, since the Green function itself vanishes. So does its D’Alembertian, since $(-\square_z + m^2) G_\Sigma(z \in \Sigma, y) = 0$. Therefore the first two terms vanish, and using (28) again, the result is

$$\frac{\delta \Phi_{\varphi, \Sigma}(x)}{\delta \Sigma(s)} = \partial_n^s G_\Sigma(s, x) \partial_n^s \Phi_{\varphi, \Sigma}(s) , \quad (41)$$

where I have used the notation (27) also for $\Phi_{\varphi,\Sigma}$. After inserting this in (37), and using (51) the result is

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\delta S[\varphi, \Sigma]}{\delta \Sigma(s)} = & \frac{1}{2} \left((\partial_\mu \Phi_{\varphi,\Sigma}(x(s)))^2 + m^2 \Phi_{\varphi,\Sigma}(x(s))^2 \right) \\ & + \int_\Sigma d\Sigma(t) \varphi(t) \partial_n^s \Phi(s) \partial_n^s \partial_n^t G_\Sigma(t, s) . \end{aligned} \quad (42)$$

The derivative $\delta S[\varphi, \Sigma]/\delta \varphi(z)$ can be easily obtained from the definition (31). The Hamilton-Jacobi equation (34) finally reads

$$\begin{aligned} \int_\Sigma d\Sigma(t) \partial_n^s \Phi(z) \varphi(t) \partial_n^s \partial_n^t G_\Sigma(t, s) + \frac{1}{2} (\partial_n^s \Phi(s))^2 + \\ + \frac{1}{2} \int_\Sigma d\Sigma(t) d\Sigma(u) \varphi(t) \varphi(u) \partial_n^t \partial_n^s G_\Sigma(s, t) \partial_n^u \partial_n^s G_\Sigma(s, u) = 0 . \end{aligned} \quad (43)$$

Calculating $\partial_n \Phi(s)$ from (28) it is easy to show that this is an identity.

5 Generalized Tomonaga-Schwinger equation

It is possible to write the propagator (32) in the form

$$W[\varphi, \Sigma] = \sqrt{\det G_\Sigma} \exp(-S[\varphi, \Sigma]) = \exp\left(\frac{1}{2} \text{tr} \ln G_\Sigma - S[\varphi, \Sigma]\right) . \quad (44)$$

The variation with respect to the surface (dropping the arguments from the notation) is

$$\frac{\delta W}{\delta \Sigma(s)} = W \frac{\delta}{\delta \Sigma(s)} \left(\frac{1}{2} \text{tr} \ln G_\Sigma - S \right) ; \quad (45)$$

so that the generalized Tomonaga-Schwinger equation (24) becomes

$$\frac{1}{2} \frac{\delta(\text{tr} \ln G_\Sigma)}{\delta \Sigma(s)} - \frac{\delta S}{\delta \Sigma(s)} = -\frac{1}{2} \frac{\delta^2 S}{\delta \varphi(s) \delta \varphi(s)} + \frac{1}{2} \frac{\delta S}{\delta \varphi(s)} \frac{\delta S}{\delta \varphi(s)} - \frac{1}{2} (\nabla \phi(s))^2 - \frac{1}{2} m^2 \phi^2(s) . \quad (46)$$

Using the Hamilton-Jacobi equation (34) derived in the last section, this equation reduces to

$$\frac{\delta(\text{tr} \ln G_\Sigma)}{\delta \Sigma(s)} = -\frac{\delta^2 S}{\delta \varphi(s) \delta \varphi(s)} . \quad (47)$$

The left hand side of this equation can be calculated again using Hadamard's formula (33) and (25)

$$\frac{\delta \text{tr} \ln G_\Sigma}{\delta \Sigma(s)} = \text{tr} \left[(-\square_x + m^2) \frac{\delta G_\Sigma(x, y)}{\delta \Sigma(s)} \right] = \partial_n^t \partial_n^u G_\Sigma(z_1, z_2)|_{t=u=s} , \quad (48)$$

while the right hand side can be directly obtained from (31), giving

$$\frac{1}{2} \frac{\delta^2}{\delta \varphi(s) \delta \varphi(s)} \int_\Sigma d\Sigma(s) d\Sigma(t) \varphi(s) \varphi(t) \partial_n^s \partial_n^t G_\Sigma(s, t) = \partial_n^t \partial_n^u G_\Sigma(z_1, z_2)|_{t=u=s} , \quad (49)$$

showing that the equation is satisfied.

6 Conclusions

I have derived the generalized Tomonaga–Schwinger equation (3) from its functional integral definition (2), in the case of a free euclidean scalar field.

An issue that I have not investigated is given by possible restrictions on the shape of the region $\mathcal{R}$ in using Green functions techniques; for example whether it has to be convex.

I think that this line of investigation should be relevant in order to develop the program presented in [6] to make contact between background independent quantum gravity and conventional calculations of particle scattering amplitudes.

The result derived here undercuts some a priori arguments against the general possibility of an equation as the form (3), and renders the equation more plausible. However, it is of course far from a general proof of the validity of this equation. In the lorentzian case, in particular, the distinction between initial and final configurations on the one hand, and boundary values of the fields on the other, might appear more substantial. The possibility that the variation of the two be governed by the same equation is less evident. The difficulty of using functional integral techniques is that integrals that converge in the euclidian oscillate in the lorentzian case. If convergence of the integrals can be controlled, I expect that it should be possible to prove the validity of the generalized Tomonaga–Schwinger using the same strategy as here.

Acknowledgements

It is my pleasure to express warm thanks to Professor Carlo Rovelli, for having suggested the subject of this research and for his constant generous help and encouragement, and to Professor Massimo Testa for many discussions and invaluable comments and suggestions, among which the idea of using Hadamard’s equation, which have been essential for the completion of this study.

Appendix

A: Hadamard formula

I sketch here the demonstration of the Hadamard formula (33). Consider two surfaces Σ_1 and Σ_2 , where Σ_2 coincides with Σ_1 except for an infinitesimal outward bulge around a point. Consider the difference $G_{\Sigma_2}(x, y) - G_{\Sigma_1}(x, y)$. This difference satisfies the equation of motion (22). If $x \in \Sigma_2$:

$$G_{\Sigma_2}(x, y) - G_{\Sigma_1}(x, y)|_{x \in \Sigma_2} = -G_{\Sigma_1}(x, y) ; \quad (50)$$

taking $y \in \Sigma$ in (28) shows that

$$-\partial_n^x G_{\Sigma}(x, y \in \Sigma) = \delta^{(3)}(x - y) \Big|_{y \in \Sigma} , \quad (51)$$

so that

$$G_{\Sigma_2}(x, y) - G_{\Sigma_1}(x, y) = \int_{\Sigma_2} d\Sigma_2(s) \partial_n^z G_{\Sigma_2}(z, x) G_{\Sigma_1}(z, y) . \quad (52)$$

The region of Σ_2 where $\Sigma_2 \equiv \Sigma_1$ doesn't contribute to this integral because of (26). In the region where $\Sigma_2 \neq \Sigma_1$, $G_{\Sigma_1}(z, y)$ is infinitesimal, so it is possible to approximate

$$G_{\Sigma_1}(z, y) \simeq \partial_n^z G_{\Sigma_2}(z, y) \delta n, \quad (53)$$

where n is the normal to Σ_1 , positive in the outgoing direction. As a consequence we have Hadamard's formula:

$$\frac{\delta G_{\Sigma}(x, y)}{\delta \Sigma(s)} = \partial_n^z G_{\Sigma}(z, x) \partial_n^z G_{\Sigma}(z, y). \quad (54)$$

B: The field propagator

Here I give the explicit expression for the propagator $W[\varphi, \Sigma]$ in the case in which Σ is formed by two parallel planes $x^4 \equiv t = 0$ and $x^4 \equiv t = T$. That is, I give the functional field propagator of the free euclidean scalar theory. I denote $\varphi_1(\vec{x})$ and $\varphi_2(\vec{x})$, the value of the field on the initial and final surface, respectively, where $\vec{x} = (x^1, x^2, x^3)$ are cartesian coordinates on the planes, and I denote the propagator as $W[\varphi_1, \varphi_2, T]$. The gaussian integral (2) can be solved by finding the extremal value of the exponent, that is, by solving the classical equation (22) with boundary conditions $\Phi(\vec{x}, 0) = \varphi_1(\vec{x})$ and $\Phi(\vec{x}, T) = \varphi_2(\vec{x})$. The solution is

$$\Phi(\vec{x}, t) = \int \frac{d^3 k d^3 y}{(2\pi)^3} e^{-i\vec{k}(\vec{x}-\vec{y})} \frac{\varphi_2(\vec{y}) \sinh \omega t - \varphi_1(\vec{y}) \sinh \omega(t-T)}{\sinh \omega T}. \quad (55)$$

Inserting this in the action and computing the gaussian integral gives

$$W[\varphi_1, \varphi_2, T] = N \exp \left\{ -\frac{1}{2} \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} d^3 x \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} d^3 y \int \frac{d^3 k}{(2\pi)^3} e^{-i\vec{k}(\vec{y}-\vec{x})} \sqrt{\vec{k}^2 + m^2} \right. \\ \left. \left(-\frac{\phi_1(\vec{y}) \phi_2(\vec{x}) + \phi_1(\vec{x}) \phi_2(\vec{y})}{\sinh(\sqrt{\vec{k}^2 + m^2} T)} + \coth(\sqrt{\vec{k}^2 + m^2} T) (\phi_2(\vec{y}) \phi_2(\vec{x}) + \phi_1(\vec{y}) \phi_1(\vec{x})) \right) \right\}. \quad (56)$$

The normalization factor N can be found via the Schrödinger equation; the solution is

$$N \propto \exp \left(\frac{V}{2} \int \frac{d^3 k}{(2\pi)^3} \ln \sinh \omega T \right) \quad (57)$$

with V being the spatial volume. For completeness, I give also the propagator in the Lorentzian case

$$W[\varphi_1, \varphi_2, T] = N \exp \left\{ \frac{i}{2} \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} d^3 x \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} d^3 y \int \frac{d^3 k}{(2\pi)^3} e^{-i\vec{k}(\vec{y}-\vec{x})} \sqrt{\vec{k}^2 + m^2} \right. \\ \left. \left(-\frac{\phi_1(\vec{y}) \phi_2(\vec{x}) + \phi_1(\vec{x}) \phi_2(\vec{y})}{\sin(\sqrt{\vec{k}^2 + m^2} T)} + \cot(\sqrt{\vec{k}^2 + m^2} T) (\phi_2(\vec{y}) \phi_2(\vec{x}) + \phi_1(\vec{y}) \phi_1(\vec{x})) \right) \right\}. \quad (58)$$

References

- [1] R P Feynman, A R Hibbs, *Quantum mechanics and path integrals* (McGraw-Hill 1965)
- [2] R Jackiw, “Analysis on infinite dimensional manifolds: Schrödinger representation for quantized fields”, in *Field theory and particle physics*, O Eboli et al, eds (World Scientific 1990); “Schrödinger picture analysis of boson and fermion quantum field theories”, MIT-CTP-1514, given at the conference on mathematical quantum field theory, Montreal, Canada, 1987
- [3] R Oeckl, “A ‘general boundary’ formulation for quantum mechanics and quantum gravity”, *Phys Lett B* 575 (2003) 318-324 ; “Schrödinger’s cat and the clock: lessons for quantum gravity”, *Class Quant Grav* 20 (2003) 5371-5380
- [4] C Rovelli, *Quantum Gravity*, (Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 2004) to appear; a draft of the book can be found in <http://www.cpt.univ-mrs.fr/~rovelli> .
- [5] D Colosi, C Rovelli, “Global particles, local particles”, in preparation
- [6] F Conrady, L Doplicher, R Oeckl, C Rovelli, M Testa, “Minkowski vacuum in background independent quantum gravity”, *Phys Rev D* 69 (2004) 064019.
- [7] D Colosi, L Doplicher, K Noui, W Fairbairn, A Perez, B Raffaelli, C Rovelli, *PA xxx*, “Background independence in a nutshell: the equilateral tetrahedron”, in preparation.
- [8] F Conrady, C Rovelli, “Particle scattering amplitudes in loop quantum gravity”, in preparation
- [9] S Tomonaga, “On a relativistically invariant formulation of the quantum theory of wave fields”, *Prog Teor Phys* **1** (1946) 27; J Schwinger, “Quantum electrodynamics I. A covariant formulation”, *Phys Rev* 74 (1948) 1439
- [10] F Conrady, C Rovelli, “Generalized Schrödinger equation in euclidean field theory”, *hep-th/0310246*
- [11] V Volterra, *Theory of functionals and of integral and integro-differential equations*, Dover 1959
- [12] WR Hamilton, “On the application to dynamics of a general mathematical method previously applied to optics”, *British Association Report* (1834) 513-518.